arXiv:1003.4052v2 [hep-th] 26 Mar 2010

Note on an action for a particle in the Hořava-Lifshitz Gravity

Myungseok Eune*

Institute of Fundamental Physics, Sejong University, Seoul 143-747, Korea

Wontae Kim†

Department of Physics, Sogang University, Seoul, 121-742, Korea

(Dated: March 29, 2010)

Abstract

We reconsider a recently proposed action for a free particle which is compatible with Hořava-Lifshitz gravity, and then obtain the subluminal and the superluminal limits without gauge ambiguity in terms of Hamiltonian formulation.

PACS numbers: 11.10.Ef,11.15.-q

Keywords: HL gravity, Constraint system, geodesic

---

*younms@sejong.ac.kr

†wtkim@sogang.ac.kr

Recently, Hořava has introduced a (power-counting) renormalizable theory of gravity called Hořava-Lifshitz (HL) gravity, where the scaling dimensions of space and time are different [1–3]. Subsequently, there have been extensive studies for the HL gravity [4–19], in particular, an interesting action describing a free particle reflecting Foliation Preserving Diffeomorphism (FPD) has been proposed and its geodesic motion of the particle has been studied in Ref. [19]. However, there appear the two types of gauge fixing conditions simultaneously: one is for the auxiliary variable $e(\tau)$ and the other is for reparametrization symmetry. Eventually, it means that the system is over-constrained so that physical interpretations may depend on the gauge fixing condition. So, in this paper, we would like to resolve this problem using the Hamiltonian formulation by treating constraints appropriately [20, 21]. The reparametrization invariance indicates that the constraint system is a first class. By fixing a gauge consistently, the constraint becomes fully second class. So, it does not need any additional gauge fixing condition.

Now, assuming the line element, $ds^2 = -N^2c^2dt^2 + g_{ij}du^idu^j$, where $du^i = dx^i + N^idt$, $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ and N and N^i are the usual lapse and shift functions, the action for the free particle which reflects the FPD is given by [19]

$$S = \frac{1}{2}\int d\tau \left[\frac{1}{e}\left(g_{ij}\dot{u}^i\dot{u}^j - c^2N^2\dot{t}^2\right) + \frac{eM^2}{N^2\dot{t}^2}\left(g_{ij}\dot{u}^i\dot{u}^j - \frac{m^2}{M^2}c^2N^2\dot{t}^2\right)\right], \tag{1}$$

where τ is the time to parametrize the world line of the particle, $e(\tau)$ is the worldline einbein, and the overdot denotes the derivative with respect to τ. The action (1) is invariant under the reparametrization given by

$$\tau \to \tau'(\tau), \quad e(\tau) \to e'(\tau') = e(\tau)\frac{d\tau}{d\tau'}, \quad (x^i, t) \to (x^i, t). \tag{2}$$

Note that for the limit of $c \to 0$, the action (1) becomes the UV action, while for the limit of $M \to 0$, it is just the IR action as explicitly presented in Ref. [19].

Let us simply consider the flat case of $N = 1$ and $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$. Then, the Lagrangian from Eq. (1) can be written as

$$L = \frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{1}{e}\left(\dot{u}^i\dot{u}_i - c^2\dot{t}^2\right) + \frac{eM^2}{\dot{t}^2}\left(\dot{u}^i\dot{u}_i - \frac{m^2}{M^2}c^2\dot{t}^2\right)\right]. \tag{3}$$

The conjugate momenta of u^i, e, and t are obtained as

$$p_i = \left(\frac{1}{e} + \frac{eM^2}{\dot{t}^2}\right)\dot{u}_i, \tag{4}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_e &= 0, &(5)\\
p_t &= -\frac{eM^2}{\dot{t}^3}\dot{u}^i\dot{u}_i - e^{-1}c^2\dot{t}, &(6)
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields one primary constraint,

$$\Omega_1 = p_e \approx 0. \tag{7}$$

Combining Eq. (4) and Eq. (6), we can get the momentum–velocity relation,

$$p_t = -\frac{eM^2}{\dot{t}^3}\left(\frac{1}{e}+\frac{eM^2}{\dot{t}^2}\right)^{-2} p^i p_i - e^{-1}c^2\dot{t}. \tag{8}$$

However, it is not easy to get the inverse relation so that we formally write $\dot{t} \equiv \eta(e, p_i, p_t)$ and $\dot{u} \equiv \xi(e, p_i, p_t)$. Then, the primary Hamiltonian can be written as

$$H_p = H_c(e, p_i, p_t) + \lambda\Omega_1, \tag{9}$$

where λ is the Lagrange multiplier and the canonical Hamiltonian H_c is given by

$$H_c = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{e}+\frac{eM^2}{\eta^2}\right)^{-1} p^i p_i + p_t\eta + \frac{1}{2}(e^{-1}c^2\eta^2 + em^2c^2). \tag{10}$$

To discuss the stability of time evolution of the primary constraint (7), let us define Poisson brackets between the variables as followings,

$$\{u^i, p_j\} = \delta^i_j, \quad \{e, p_e\} = 1, \quad \{t, p_t\} = 1, \quad \text{others} = 0. \tag{11}$$

Then, using the convenient relation of $\partial\eta/\partial e = e^{-1}\eta$ from Eq. (8), the time evolution of the primary constraint gives the secondary constraint as

$$\dot{\Omega}_1 = \{\Omega_1, H_p\} = -\frac{1}{e}H_c(e, p_i, p_t) = \frac{1}{e}\Omega_2 \approx 0. \tag{12}$$

It happens that there is no more secondary constraint because the time evolution of Ω_2 automatically vanishes, $\dot{\Omega}_2 = \lambda e^{-1}\Omega_2 \approx 0$. Moreover, the primary and the secondary constraint are the first class which is necessarily the implementation of the reparametrization symmetry.

Now, one can fix the gauge to make the system into second class so that take the gauge fixing condition as

$$\Omega_3 \equiv t - a\tau \approx 0, \tag{13}$$

with a gauge parameter a. It means that $\dot{t} = \eta = a$. Furthermore, the careful time evolution of the gauge fixing condition (13),

$$\dot{\Omega}_3 = \{\Omega_3, H_p\} + \partial\Omega_3/\partial\tau = \left(\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial p_t}\right)\Omega_4 + \dot{t} - a \approx 0, \tag{14}$$

yields additional constraint,

$$\Omega_4 \equiv p_t + \frac{eM^2}{a^3}\left(\frac{1}{e} + \frac{eM^2}{a^2}\right)^{-2} p^i p_i + e^{-1}c^2 a \approx 0. \tag{15}$$

Requiring that the time evolution of Ω_4 vanishes, we can fix the Lagrangian multiplier as $\lambda = 0$. Thus, we can obtain the four constraints which give the well-defined Dirac brackets. Applying the gauge fixing condition (13), the canonical Hamiltonian (10) can be rewritten as

$$H_c = \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{e} + \frac{eM^2}{a^2}\right)^{-1} p^i p_i + a p_t + \frac{1}{2}(e^{-1}c^2a^2 + em^2c^2). \tag{16}$$

After some calculations, one can now obtain constraint algebra $C_{ab} \equiv \{\Omega_a, \Omega_b\}$ as

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -\Delta \\ 0 & 0 & -a & 0 \\ 0 & a & 0 & 1 \\ \Delta & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{17}$$

where

$$\Delta \equiv \frac{\partial\Omega_4}{\partial e} = -\frac{M^2}{a^3}\left(\frac{1}{e} + \frac{eM^2}{a^2}\right)^{-3}\left(-\frac{3}{e} + \frac{eM^2}{a^2}\right) p^i p_i - e^{-2}c^2 a. \tag{18}$$

Since $\det C = a^2\Delta^2 \neq 0$, the constraint algebra is fully second class, which implies that we can not fix additional gauge anymore, for instance, $e(\tau) = 1/m$. Therefore, Dirac brackets given by $\{u, v\}_{\rm DB} \equiv \{u, v\} - \sum_{a,b}\{u, \Omega_a\}C^{-1}_{ab}\{\Omega_b, v\}$, are well-defined so that nontrivial brackets are exhibited as

$$\begin{aligned} \{e, t\}_{\rm DB} &= \frac{1}{\Delta}, && (19) \\ \{e, u^i\}_{\rm DB} &= \frac{2eM^2}{\Delta a^2}\left(\frac{1}{e} + \frac{eM^2}{a^2}\right)^{-2} p^i, && (20) \\ \{u^i, p_j\}_{\rm DB} &= \delta^i_j, && (21) \\ \{u^i, p_t\}_{\rm DB} &= -\frac{1}{a}\left(\frac{1}{e} + \frac{eM^2}{a^2}\right)^{-1} p^i. && (22) \end{aligned}$$

Finally, using the fact that $\dot{u}^i = du^i/d\tau = \eta\, du^i/dt = av^i$ with $v^i \equiv du^i/dt$, Eq. (12) leads to

$$e^2\left(\frac{M^2v^2}{c^2} - m^2\right) = a^2\left(\frac{v^2}{c^2} - 1\right). \tag{23}$$

For $M < m$, the allowed range of velocity is $v < c$ (subluminal) or $v > \frac{m}{M}c$ (superluminal). In the subluminal regime $v < c$ with $M \ll m$, the action goes to the IR action. For $M > m$, the allowed range of velocity is $v < \frac{m}{M}c$ (subluminal) or $v > c$ (superluminal). The superluminal case of them corresponds to the UV action along with $c \to 0$. For the luminal case $v = c$, the masses are zero, *i.e.,* $M = m = 0$. Note that all these arguments are gauge-independent.

In conclusion, we have studied the recently proposed action for a free particle where the scaling dimensions of space and time are different. Note that in the IR region of $M \approx 0$ which recovers the relativistic particle action, the subluminal speed of the particle can be well-defined, however, there exists superluminal region with the infinite speed in spite of the massive particle. On the other hand, in the UV region defined by $c \approx 0$, the speed of the particle is expectedly unlimited.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank E. Son for exciting discussions. WT Kim was supported by the Special Research Grant of Sogang University, 200911044. M. Eune was supported by the National Research Foundation of Korea Grant funded by the Korean Government [NRF-2009-351-C00109].

---

[1] P. Horava, arXiv:0811.2217 [hep-th].

[2] P. Horava, JHEP **0903**, 020 (2009) [arXiv:0812.4287 [hep-th]].

[3] P. Horava, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 084008 (2009) [arXiv:0901.3775 [hep-th]].

[4] R. G. Cai, L. M. Cao and N. Ohta, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 024003 (2009) [arXiv:0904.3670 [hep-th]].

[5] Y. S. Myung, Phys. Lett. B **678**, 127 (2009) [arXiv:0905.0957 [hep-th]].

[6] R. B. Mann, JHEP **0906**, 075 (2009) [arXiv:0905.1136 [hep-th]].

[7] R. G. Cai, Y. Liu and Y. W. Sun, JHEP **0906**, 010 (2009) [arXiv:0904.4104 [hep-th]].

[8] E. O. Colgain and H. Yavartanoo, JHEP **0908**, 021 (2009) [arXiv:0904.4357 [hep-th]].

[9] A. Ghodsi and E. Hatefi, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 044016 (2010) [arXiv:0906.1237 [hep-th]].

[10] E. Kiritsis, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 044009 (2010) [arXiv:0911.3164 [hep-th]].

[11] B. R. Majhi, Phys. Lett. B **686**, 49 (2010) [arXiv:0911.3239 [hep-th]].

[12] J. M. Romero, V. Cuesta, J. A. Garcia and J. D. Vergara, arXiv:0909.3540 [hep-th].

[13] T. Takahashi and J. Soda, Phys. Rev. Lett. **102**, 231301 (2009) [arXiv:0904.0554 [hep-th]].

[14] R. Brandenberger, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 043516 (2009) [arXiv:0904.2835 [hep-th]].

[15] S. Mukohyama, K. Nakayama, F. Takahashi and S. Yokoyama, Phys. Lett. B **679**, 6 (2009) [arXiv:0905.0055 [hep-th]].

[16] S. Alexander, T. Biswas and G. Calcagni, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 043511 (2010) [arXiv:0906.5161 [astro-ph.CO]].

[17] M. R. Setare and M. Jamil, JCAP **1002**, 010 (2010) [arXiv:1001.1251 [hep-th]].

[18] M. Jamil, E. N. Saridakis and M. R. Setare, arXiv:1003.0876 [hep-th].

[19] A. E. Mosaffa, “On Geodesic Motion in Horava-Lifshitz Gravity,” arXiv:1001.0490 [hep-th].

[20] P. A. M. Dirac, “Lectures on Quantum Mechanics”, (Yeshiba University Press, New York, 1964).

[21] M. Henneaux and C. Teitelboim, “Quantization of Gauge Systems”, (Princeton University Press, NJ, 1992).